

February 10, 1960

Chemical Cuts Exchange Bank
60 Broadway
New York 15, N.Y.

Gentlemen:

Would you kindly forward to the undersigned, an up-to-date statement showing the balance to the credit of Mr. Odi Samuelsen, 366 Youville Square, Montreal.

At the same time would you kindly advise if there are any loans outstanding in the name of Mr. Samuelsen, list of securities, if any, held by you on his behalf, and if he has a safe deposit box.

I would appreciate a reply at your early convenience.

Yours very truly,

L. W. Bloomfield, C.C.

February 10th, 1960

Dr. E. W. Dufeld,
Lewenstrasse 3,
Berich 1, Switzerland.

Dear Peter:

I had a phone call from New York today which disturbed me very greatly, coming on the heels of previous incidents about which I wrote to you, in particular on February 5th.

It seems that George cabled to New York the text of a letter which you and Metzger apparently sent to him in German, dated 26 January, 1960, in which you both peremptorily informed George that upon instructions from me, he was immediately being relieved of any and all functions which he previously had performed, and in effect, that he is to get out of the office at once.

If you and Metzger have really sent such a letter to Georges Mantelle, on whose authority did you quote these non-existent instructions as having emanated from me? I gave neither you nor Metzger such instructions. On the contrary, when I last left Rome, we arranged for an advisory committee consisting of you, Dr. Wolf and myself to decide on questions of policy, after first discussing same with Georges.

Knowing that this document was in effect, of which you had a copy and which you gave to Dr. Wolf, and knowing the shareholders' desire not to create any rows or friction until such time as the business was properly launched, how on earth, if this report is true, could you permit yourself to act in such a highhanded way without prior consultation with me?

In your report of the 26th January you pointed out to me that in a discussion with Georges, you advised him in his own self-interest to stay out of the picture and not interfere in the administration and you advised me that he had agreed to this. This, however, is a far different thing from actually dismissing him from his functions. If he agreed, as you claim in your report of January 26th, why was it necessary to take such extreme measures? The report in your letter of January 26th impressed

Dr. E. W. Infeld

me as a diplomatic approach to the problem but is at great variance, in actual fact, with the letter of ultimatum which you and Metzger are alleged to have sent.

I had hoped that our continued collaboration together would remain on the basis of the meticulous and detailed instructions, discussions and agreements we decided on together during my meetings with you in Rome, Zurich and Geneva. When I left you in Europe, I asked you to "hold the fort" but since my departure, everything seems to have gone contrariwise.

Just when we are on the threshold of bringing this affair to a brilliant termination that would reflect credit on all of us, I find myself, as a result of your actions, being placed in an impossible position vis-à-vis my clients.

I am going to New York tonight for very important meetings with Dr. Magy, the Port of New York Authority and Mr. David Rockefeller's personal representative, on a scheme of collaboration between the two groups. Instead of devoting my time to this very important work, I will now have to take time off in New York to defend your actions once more.

Following the meetings tomorrow and Friday, we are to have a second meeting with Mr. David Rockefeller some time next week but in view of the cries and complaints from the shareholders I have no alternative but to postpone my meeting with Mr. Rockefeller in order to examine the situation in Europe on the spot. I shall cable you of my arrival, so that we can arrange to meet with Dr. Wolf to whom I am sending a confidential copy of this letter.

Sincerely yours,

LMB:DC

cc: Dr. Dov Biegun (blind copy)

cc: Dr. Ernest Wolf - CONFIDENTIAL

February 16/60

Febr

STRICTLY PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Dr. Ernest Wolf,
St. Jakobstrasse 25,
Basle, Switzerland.

Dear Ernest:

Many thanks for your letter of Feb. 15. I am sorry that I cannot meet Dr. Biegun and the Americans in any event, to see you within two weeks on this matter.

Confidentially, I find myself in vis-à-vis clients who are now questioning their interests. All this to my complete confidence to Infeld and you have read, from the confidential I sent you, how he has involved the arising out of two matters, one of serious financial consequences. Now we see that their instructions were a ruse would have done what Infeld the Silver case. My instructions to him were so clear that there was no misinterpretation. In fact, Silver consulted on several occasions under the impression that what he of judgment on Infeld's part. All intrigues and discouragements, leave and at my meeting in New York I am glad to appoint another lawyer or, instead, they should not be affected by this. This is the only honor

The Parkhof situation, which is a great one, and all the attendant difficulties of the Seligman and Mantello group of exercise their influence, is beginning